

1:11cv 2615  
LETTER

FILED

2013 JAN -7 PM 3:42

Scott A Dunay  
2659 Pleasant Valley Rd  
Mobile AL 36606

January 2, 2013

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
CLEVELAND

Clerk of the Court  
United States District Court for the  
Northern district of Ohio  
801 West Superior Avenue  
Cleveland, OH 44113

In the Case of White v. CRST.

You're Honor,

This letter is to inform you of my objections to the settlement.


First of all I object to the potential award to the plaintiff's attorneys I think 30% is a ridiculous amount. WE the drivers the employees and the people who didn't get jobs with CRST are the victims not the attorneys. Why in heavens name should the attorneys have such a windfall?

Also I object to the attorneys (both defendants and plaintiffs) making a settlement agreement before even making aware to the other victims that a lawsuit has been filed.

And because of the manner in which CRST handled consumer investigations they have caused many of us to pay higher fees in credit and mortgage loans.

Wherefore I ask the court to limit the amount paid to the attorneys to 15% or 20% maximum and then split the monies between the real victims.

Sincerely,



Scott A Dunay  
2659 Pleasant Valley Rd  
Mobile, AL. 36606